

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/023,232	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MONOSOV ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Anne Marie S. Wehbe	<b>Art Unit</b> 1632	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anne Marie S. Wehbe

(3) Robert Hoffman

(2) Kate Murashige

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 11/7/03

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Bibliography

Claim(s) discussed: 1-18, 20-25, 27, 28, 30-37, 42-49, 54-61

Identification of prior art discussed: McLennan, Kynian, Wang, etc.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see below.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

The applicant presented a detailed background of the field concerning research directions in orthotopic vs. distant tumor transplantation. The applicant further provided papers demonstrating their substantial success in generating metastases that reflect the pattern reported in humans. The examiner suggested adding language which reflects the fact that applicant's model does in fact generate a pattern of metastasis that reflects that of the human, since the claims as written read on even one metastasis and are not limited to recapitulating the entire pattern observed in humans.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

[Signature]  
Examiner's signature, if required